

Localization of a polymer in a random environment - Bernoulli & Interlacements

Nicolas Bouchot

Sorbonne Université, LPSM



IHP - Probabilités de Demain - 12 avril 2023

What is a polymer ?

What is a polymer ?

Polymer = long chain of small molecules (monomers)

What is a polymer ?

Polymer = long chain of small molecules (monomers)
Interacts with a "stable" environment (heat bath).

What is a polymer ?

Polymer = long chain of small molecules (monomers)
Interacts with a "stable" environment (heat bath).

Thermodynamics \rightarrow canonical ensemble

What is a polymer ?

Polymer = long chain of small molecules (monomers)
Interacts with a "stable" environment (heat bath).

Thermodynamics \rightarrow canonical ensemble
At equilibrium, the probability of observing the polymer in an
internal state S with energy $E(S)$ is given by

$$P(S) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E(S)/k_B T}$$

What is a polymer ?

Polymer = long chain of small molecules (monomers)
Interacts with a "stable" environment (heat bath).

Thermodynamics \rightarrow canonical ensemble
At equilibrium, the probability of observing the polymer in an internal state S with energy $E(S)$ is given by

$$P(S) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E(S)/k_B T}$$

k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature of the system and Z is a normalizing constant (partition function).

polymer of length $n \longleftrightarrow$ path of length n in \mathbb{Z}^d

polymer of length $n \longleftrightarrow$ path of length n in \mathbb{Z}^d

$$W_n = \{S = (S_i)_{i=0}^n \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^n : \forall 0 \leq i < n, |S_{i+1} - S_i|_1 = 1\}$$

polymer of length $n \longleftrightarrow$ path of length n in \mathbb{Z}^d

$$W_n = \{S = (S_i)_{i=0}^n \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^n : \forall 0 \leq i < n, |S_{i+1} - S_i|_1 = 1\}$$

The path is taken according to the random walk law \mathbf{P} , and then weighted by its energy.

polymer of length $n \longleftrightarrow$ path of length n in \mathbb{Z}^d

$$W_n = \{S = (S_i)_{i=0}^n \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^n : \forall 0 \leq i < n, |S_{i+1} - S_i|_1 = 1\}$$

The path is taken according to the random walk law \mathbf{P} , and then weighted by its energy.

The energy is a map $H_n : W_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ that modelizes physical interactions

polymer of length $n \longleftrightarrow$ path of length n in \mathbb{Z}^d

$$W_n = \{S = (S_i)_{i=0}^n \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^n : \forall 0 \leq i < n, |S_{i+1} - S_i|_1 = 1\}$$

The path is taken according to the random walk law \mathbf{P} , and then weighted by its energy.

The energy is a map $H_n : W_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ that modelizes physical interactions

Polymer measure: Gibbs transformation of \mathbf{P} given by

$$\mathbf{P}_n^\beta(S) = \frac{1}{Z_n^\beta} e^{-\beta H_n(S)} \mathbf{P}(S)$$

with $\beta = 1/k_B T$ and $Z_n^\beta = \mathbf{E} [e^{-\beta H_n(S)}]$ a normalizing constant.

Annealed & Quenched polymer measure

Annealed & Quenched polymer measure

random environment on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \longrightarrow$ random Hamiltonian H_n^ω

Annealed & Quenched polymer measure

random environment on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \longrightarrow$ random Hamiltonian H_n^ω
We can define two polymer measures depending on the model.

Annealed & Quenched polymer measure

random environment on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow$ random Hamiltonian H_n^ω
We can define two polymer measures depending on the model.

- The quenched measure: we get a random Gibbs measure

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,\omega}^\beta(S) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\omega}^\beta} e^{-\beta H_n^\omega(S)} \mathbf{P}(S)$$

which corresponds to a fixed realisation of the environment.

Annealed & Quenched polymer measure

random environment on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow$ random Hamiltonian H_n^ω
We can define two polymer measures depending on the model.

- The quenched measure: we get a random Gibbs measure

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,\omega}^\beta(S) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\omega}^\beta} e^{-\beta H_n^\omega(S)} \mathbf{P}(S)$$

which corresponds to a fixed realisation of the environment.

- The annealed measure: we get a deterministic measure

$$\mathbb{P}_n^\beta(S) = \frac{1}{Z_n^\beta} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\beta H_n^\omega(S)} \right] \mathbf{P}(S)$$

where the environment plays a part in the equilibrium (compromise).

Polymer in a Bernoulli percolation

Polymer in a Bernoulli percolation

Obstacle set $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$ i.i.d.

Polymer in a Bernoulli percolation

Obstacle set $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$ i.i.d.
Define $\mathcal{R}_n(S) = \{S_0, \dots, S_n\} = S_{[0,n]}$ the range of S at time n .

Polymer in a Bernoulli percolation

Obstacle set $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$ i.i.d.
Define $\mathcal{R}_n(S) = \{S_0, \dots, S_n\} = S_{[0,n]}$ the range of S at time n .

random walk killed by $\mathcal{O}_p \longrightarrow H_n^\eta(S) = +\infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n(S) \cap \mathcal{O}_p \neq \emptyset\}}$.

Polymer in a Bernoulli percolation

Obstacle set $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$ i.i.d.
Define $\mathcal{R}_n(S) = \{S_0, \dots, S_n\} = S_{[0,n]}$ the range of S at time n .

random walk killed by $\mathcal{O}_p \longrightarrow H_n^\eta(S) = +\infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n(S) \cap \mathcal{O}_p \neq \emptyset\}}$.

The quenched measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,p}^\eta(S) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,p}^\eta} \mathbb{1}_{\{S \cap \mathcal{O}_p = \emptyset\}} \mathbf{P}(S) = \mathbf{P}(S \mid S \cap \mathcal{O}_p = \emptyset)$$

Polymer in a Bernoulli percolation

Obstacle set $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$ i.i.d.
Define $\mathcal{R}_n(S) = \{S_0, \dots, S_n\} = S_{[0,n]}$ the range of S at time n .

random walk killed by $\mathcal{O}_p \longrightarrow H_n^\eta(S) = +\infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n(S) \cap \mathcal{O}_p \neq \emptyset\}}$.

The quenched measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,p}^\eta(S) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,p}^\eta} \mathbb{1}_{\{S \cap \mathcal{O}_p = \emptyset\}} \mathbf{P}(S) = \mathbf{P}(S \mid S \cap \mathcal{O}_p = \emptyset)$$

The annealed measure is

$$\mathbb{P}_n^p(S) = \frac{1}{Z_n^p} \mathbb{E}_p \left[\mathbb{1}_{\{S \cap \mathcal{O}_p = \emptyset\}} \right] \mathbf{P}(S) = \frac{1}{Z_n^p} e^{|\mathcal{R}_n(S)| \log(1-p)} \mathbf{P}(S)$$

Inhomogeneous Bernoulli percolation

Inhomogeneous Bernoulli percolation

Generalization: take independent $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p_z)$ with i.i.d. $(p_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.

Inhomogeneous Bernoulli percolation

Generalization: take independent $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p_z)$ with i.i.d. $(p_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.
 \mathcal{O} obstacle set. The doubly quenched measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_n(S) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n(S) \cap \mathcal{O} = \emptyset\}} \mathbf{P}(S) \quad \rightarrow \text{quite complicated!}$$

Inhomogeneous Bernoulli percolation

Generalization: take independent $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p_z)$ with i.i.d. $(p_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.
 \mathcal{O} obstacle set. The doubly quenched measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_n(S) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n(S) \cap \mathcal{O} = \emptyset\}} \mathbf{P}(S) \quad \rightarrow \text{quite complicated!}$$

Natural: study the partially annealed models, meaning either the percolation or the parameters.

Inhomogeneous Bernoulli percolation

Generalization: take independent $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p_z)$ with i.i.d. $(p_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.
 \mathcal{O} obstacle set. The doubly quenched measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_n(S) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n(S) \cap \mathcal{O} = \emptyset\}} \mathbf{P}(S) \rightarrow \text{quite complicated!}$$

Natural: study the partially annealed models, meaning either the percolation
or the parameters.

Take $p_z = 1 - e^{\beta\omega_z - h}$ where ω_z are i.i.d., the percolation is annealed

Inhomogeneous Bernoulli percolation

Generalization: take independent $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p_z)$ with i.i.d. $(p_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.
 \mathcal{O} obstacle set. The doubly quenched measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_n(S) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n(S) \cap \mathcal{O} = \emptyset\}} \mathbf{P}(S) \quad \rightarrow \text{quite complicated!}$$

Natural: study the partially annealed models, meaning either the percolation or the parameters.

Take $p_z = 1 - e^{\beta\omega_z - h}$ where ω_z are i.i.d., the percolation is annealed

$$\mathbb{P}_{n,\omega}^{h,\beta}(S) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\omega}^{h,\beta}} \prod_{z \in \mathcal{R}_n(S)} (1 - p_z) \mathbf{P}(S) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\omega}^{h,\beta}} e^{\sum_{z \in \mathcal{R}_n(S)} (\beta\omega_z - h)} \mathbf{P}(S)$$

Inhomogeneous Bernoulli percolation

Generalization: take independent $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p_z)$ with i.i.d. $(p_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.
 \mathcal{O} obstacle set. The doubly quenched measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_n(S) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n(S) \cap \mathcal{O} = \emptyset\}} \mathbf{P}(S) \quad \rightarrow \text{quite complicated!}$$

Natural: study the partially annealed models, meaning either the percolation or the parameters.

Take $p_z = 1 - e^{\beta\omega_z - h}$ where ω_z are i.i.d., the percolation is annealed

$$\mathbb{P}_{n,\omega}^{h,\beta}(S) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\omega}^{h,\beta}} \prod_{z \in \mathcal{R}_n(S)} (1 - p_z) \mathbf{P}(S) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\omega}^{h,\beta}} e^{\sum_{z \in \mathcal{R}_n(S)} (\beta\omega_z - h)} \mathbf{P}(S)$$

This model is the random walk penalized by the sum of $h - \beta\omega_z$ sitting on the range, with quenched ω_z .

Our model

Random walk in \mathbb{Z} (law \mathbf{P}) + environment $\omega = (\omega_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ i.i.d. variables
(law \mathbb{P}) with $\mathbb{E}[\omega_0] = 0, \mathbb{E}[\omega_0^2] = 1$.

Random walk in \mathbb{Z} (law \mathbf{P}) + environment $\omega = (\omega_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ i.i.d. variables
(law \mathbb{P}) with $\mathbb{E}[\omega_0] = 0, \mathbb{E}[\omega_0^2] = 1$.

For $h > 0, \beta \geq 0$, the quenched polymer measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}(S) := \frac{1}{Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} \exp\left(\sum_{z \in \mathcal{R}_n(S)} (\beta\omega_z - h)\right) \mathbf{P}(S).$$

Important: each energy cost is only taken once!

Random walk in \mathbb{Z} (law \mathbf{P}) + environment $\omega = (\omega_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ i.i.d. variables (law \mathbb{P}) with $\mathbb{E}[\omega_0] = 0, \mathbb{E}[\omega_0^2] = 1$.

For $h > 0, \beta \geq 0$, the quenched polymer measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}(S) := \frac{1}{Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} \exp\left(\sum_{z \in \mathcal{R}_n(S)} (\beta\omega_z - h)\right) \mathbf{P}(S).$$

Important: each energy cost is only taken once!

- $\beta = 0$: homogeneous environment, we allow $h = h_n$ and write $\mathbf{P}_{n,h_n} = \mathbf{P}_{n,h_n}^{\omega,0} \rightarrow$ shrinking at a size $f(h_n)$.

Random walk in \mathbb{Z} (law \mathbf{P}) + environment $\omega = (\omega_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ i.i.d. variables (law \mathbb{P}) with $\mathbb{E}[\omega_0] = 0, \mathbb{E}[\omega_0^2] = 1$.

For $h > 0, \beta \geq 0$, the quenched polymer measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}(S) := \frac{1}{Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} \exp\left(\sum_{z \in \mathcal{R}_n(S)} (\beta\omega_z - h)\right) \mathbf{P}(S).$$

Important: each energy cost is only taken once!

- $\beta = 0$: homogeneous environment, we allow $h = h_n$ and write $\mathbf{P}_{n,h_n} = \mathbf{P}_{n,h_n}^{\omega,0} \rightarrow$ shrinking at a size $f(h_n)$.
- $\beta > 0$: reach the sites with high values for ω , avoid those with highly negative values.

Random walk in \mathbb{Z} (law \mathbf{P}) + environment $\omega = (\omega_z)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ i.i.d. variables (law \mathbb{P}) with $\mathbb{E}[\omega_0] = 0, \mathbb{E}[\omega_0^2] = 1$.

For $h > 0, \beta \geq 0$, the quenched polymer measure is

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}(S) := \frac{1}{Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} \exp\left(\sum_{z \in \mathcal{R}_n(S)} (\beta\omega_z - h)\right) \mathbf{P}(S).$$

Important: each energy cost is only taken once!

- $\beta = 0$: homogeneous environment, we allow $h = h_n$ and write $\mathbf{P}_{n,h_n} = \mathbf{P}_{n,h_n}^{\omega,0} \rightarrow$ shrinking at a size $f(h_n)$.
- $\beta > 0$: reach the sites with high values for ω , avoid those with highly negative values.

We are interested in the edges localization, meaning asymptotics for

$$M_n^- = \min_{k \leq n} S_k, \quad M_n^+ = \max_{k \leq n} S_k$$

Homogeneous model ($\beta = 0$): phase transition

Homogeneous model ($\beta = 0$): phase transition

Define $T_n = M_n^+ - M_n^-$, $T_n^* = \left(\frac{n\pi^2}{h_n}\right)^{1/3}$ and $a_n = \frac{(T_n^*)^2}{\sqrt{3n\pi^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{n\pi^2}{h_n^4}\right)^{1/6}$

Homogeneous model ($\beta = 0$): phase transition

Define $T_n = M_n^+ - M_n^-$, $T_n^* = \left(\frac{n\pi^2}{h_n}\right)^{1/3}$ and $a_n = \frac{(T_n^*)^2}{\sqrt{3n\pi^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{n\pi^2}{h_n^4}\right)^{1/6}$

Theorem

- Assume that $h_n \geq n^{-1/2}(\log n)^{3/2}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/4}h_n = 0$, then

$$\left(\frac{T_n - T_n^*}{a_n}, \frac{M_n^+}{T_n^*} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{(d)} (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{M}),$$

where $\mathcal{T} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{M} \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \sin(\pi y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(y) dy$ are independent.

Homogeneous model ($\beta = 0$): phase transition

Define $T_n = M_n^+ - M_n^-$, $T_n^* = \left(\frac{n\pi^2}{h_n}\right)^{1/3}$ and $a_n = \frac{(T_n^*)^2}{\sqrt{3n\pi^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{n\pi^2}{h_n^4}\right)^{1/6}$

Theorem

- Assume that $h_n \geq n^{-1/2}(\log n)^{3/2}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/4}h_n = 0$, then

$$\left(\frac{T_n - T_n^*}{a_n}, \frac{M_n^+}{T_n^*}\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{(d)} (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{M}),$$

where $\mathcal{T} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{M} \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \sin(\pi y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(y) dy$ are independent.

- Assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/4}h_n = +\infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1}h_n = 0$.

$$\exists (\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \{0, 1\}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_{n, h_n}(T_n - \lfloor T_n^* - 2 \rfloor \notin \mathcal{A}_n) = 0.$$

Influence of the disorder ($\beta > 0$)

Influence of the disorder ($\beta > 0$)

We want to find the typical events under $\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}$.

We want to find the typical events under $\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}$.

$$\xi_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} \xi \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} (|\xi_n - \xi| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Influence of the disorder ($\beta > 0$)

We want to find the typical events under $\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}$.

$$\xi_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} \xi \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} (|\xi_n - \xi| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Theorem (Berger, Huang, Torri, Wei (2022))

For all $h > 0$, define $c_h := (\pi^2 h^{-1})^{1/3}$. Then, for any $h, \beta > 0$, \mathbb{P} -almost surely we have the following convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} \log Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} = -\frac{3}{2}(\pi h)^{2/3}, \quad n^{-1/3} |\mathcal{R}_n| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} c_h.$$

We want to find the typical events under $\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}$.

$$\xi_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} \xi \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} (|\xi_n - \xi| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Theorem (Berger, Huang, Torri, Wei (2022))

For all $h > 0$, define $c_h := (\pi^2 h^{-1})^{1/3}$. Then, for any $h, \beta > 0$, \mathbb{P} -almost surely we have the following convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} \log Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} = -\frac{3}{2}(\pi h)^{2/3}, \quad n^{-1/3} |\mathcal{R}_n| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} c_h.$$

At first order, the size of the range is deterministic.

We want to find the typical events under $\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}$.

$$\xi_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} \xi \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} (|\xi_n - \xi| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Theorem (Berger, Huang, Torri, Wei (2022))

For all $h > 0$, define $c_h := (\pi^2 h^{-1})^{1/3}$. Then, for any $h, \beta > 0$, \mathbb{P} -almost surely we have the following convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} \log Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} = -\frac{3}{2}(\pi h)^{2/3}, \quad n^{-1/3} |\mathcal{R}_n| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} c_h.$$

At first order, the size of the range is deterministic.

The main contribution to $Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}$ is given by trajectories with range $\sim c_h n^{1/3}$.

Theorem

For any $h, \beta > 0$, we have the following \mathbb{P} -a.s. convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta n^{1/6}} \left(\log Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} + \frac{3}{2} h c_h n^{1/3} \right) = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq c_h} \left\{ X_u^{(1)} + X_{c_h-u}^{(2)} \right\},$$

with $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}$ two independent Brownian motions.

Theorem

For any $h, \beta > 0$, we have the following \mathbb{P} -a.s. convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta n^{1/6}} \left(\log Z_{n,h}^{\omega, \beta} + \frac{3}{2} h c_h n^{1/3} \right) = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq c_h} \left\{ X_u^{(1)} + X_{c_h - u}^{(2)} \right\},$$

with $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}$ two independent Brownian motions.

Furthermore, $u_* := \arg \max_{u \in [0, c_h]} X_u^{(1)} + X_{c_h - u}^{(2)}$ is \mathbb{P} -a.s. unique and

$$n^{-1/3} (M_n^-, M_n^+) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega, \beta}} (-u_*, c_h - u_*) \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Theorem

For any $h, \beta > 0$, we have the following \mathbb{P} -a.s. convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta n^{1/6}} \left(\log Z_{n,h}^{\omega, \beta} + \frac{3}{2} h c_h n^{1/3} \right) = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq c_h} \left\{ X_u^{(1)} + X_{c_h - u}^{(2)} \right\},$$

with $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}$ two independent Brownian motions.

Furthermore, $u_* := \arg \max_{u \in [0, c_h]} X_u^{(1)} + X_{c_h - u}^{(2)}$ is \mathbb{P} -a.s. unique and

$$n^{-1/3} (M_n^-, M_n^+) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega, \beta}} (-u_*, c_h - u_*) \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

In particular, $n^{-1/3} \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow [-u_*, c_h - u_*]$ (under $\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega, \beta}$, \mathbb{P} - a.s.).

Theorem

For any $h, \beta > 0$, we have the following \mathbb{P} -a.s. convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta n^{1/6}} \left(\log Z_{n,h}^{\omega, \beta} + \frac{3}{2} h c_h n^{1/3} \right) = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq c_h} \left\{ X_u^{(1)} + X_{c_h - u}^{(2)} \right\},$$

with $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}$ two independent Brownian motions.

Furthermore, $u_* := \arg \max_{u \in [0, c_h]} X_u^{(1)} + X_{c_h - u}^{(2)}$ is \mathbb{P} -a.s. unique and

$$n^{-1/3} (M_n^-, M_n^+) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega, \beta}} (-u_*, c_h - u_*) \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

In particular, $n^{-1/3} \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow [-u_*, c_h - u_*]$ (under $\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega, \beta}$, \mathbb{P} - a.s.).

The location of the range is \mathbf{P} -deterministic: it only depends on the realisation ω through $X_u = X_u^{(1)} + X_{c_h - u}^{(2)}$.

Fluctuations around u_*

Fluctuations around u_*

What is the scale/law of $U_n = M_n^- + u_* n^{1/3}$ or $V_n = (c_h - u_*) n^{1/3} - M_n^+$?

What is the scale/law of $U_n = M_n^- + u_* n^{1/3}$ or $V_n = (c_h - u_*) n^{1/3} - M_n^+$?

Theorem

Suppose $\mathbb{E} [|\omega_0|^{3+\eta}] < \infty$ for some positive η , we have the \mathbb{P} -a.s. convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta n^{1/9}} \left(\log Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} + \frac{3}{2} h c_h n^{1/3} - \beta n^{1/6} X_{u_*} \right) = \sup_{u,v} \left\{ \mathcal{Y}_{u,v} - c_{h,\beta} (u+v)^2 \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_{u,v} := \mathbf{Y}_u - \mathbf{Y}_{-v} - \chi(\mathbf{B}_u + \mathbf{B}_v)$ with \mathbf{B} a two-sided BES_3 , \mathbf{Y} a two-sided standard Brownian motion.

What is the scale/law of $U_n = M_n^- + u_* n^{1/3}$ or $V_n = (c_h - u_*) n^{1/3} - M_n^+$?

Theorem

Suppose $\mathbb{E} [|\omega_0|^{3+\eta}] < \infty$ for some positive η , we have the \mathbb{P} -a.s. convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta n^{1/9}} \left(\log Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} + \frac{3}{2} h c_h n^{1/3} - \beta n^{1/6} X_{u_*} \right) = \sup_{u,v} \left\{ \mathcal{Y}_{u,v} - c_{h,\beta} (u+v)^2 \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_{u,v} := \mathbf{Y}_u - \mathbf{Y}_{-v} - \chi(\mathbf{B}_u + \mathbf{B}_v)$ with \mathbf{B} a two-sided BES_3 , \mathbf{Y} a two-sided standard Brownian motion.

Moreover, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) := \arg \max_{u,v} \{ \mathcal{Y}_{u,v} - c_{h,\beta} (u+v)^2 \}$ is \mathbb{P} -a.s. well-defined, unique, and we have

$$\frac{1}{n^{2/9}} (U_n, V_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

What is the scale/law of $U_n = M_n^- + u_* n^{1/3}$ or $V_n = (c_h - u_*) n^{1/3} - M_n^+$?

Theorem

Suppose $\mathbb{E} [|\omega_0|^{3+\eta}] < \infty$ for some positive η , we have the \mathbb{P} -a.s. convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta n^{1/9}} \left(\log Z_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta} + \frac{3}{2} h c_h n^{1/3} - \beta n^{1/6} X_{u_*} \right) = \sup_{u,v} \left\{ \mathcal{Y}_{u,v} - c_{h,\beta} (u+v)^2 \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_{u,v} := \mathbf{Y}_u - \mathbf{Y}_{-v} - \chi(\mathbf{B}_u + \mathbf{B}_v)$ with \mathbf{B} a two-sided BES₃, \mathbf{Y} a two-sided standard Brownian motion.

Moreover, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) := \arg \max_{u,v} \{ \mathcal{Y}_{u,v} - c_{h,\beta} (u+v)^2 \}$ is \mathbb{P} -a.s. well-defined, unique, and we have

$$\frac{1}{n^{2/9}} (U_n, V_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbf{P}_{n,h}^{\omega,\beta}} (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Again, this is \mathbf{P} -deterministic.

WIP: Quenched Bernoulli and interlacements

WIP: Quenched Bernoulli and interlacements

Recall Bernoulli percolation $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$.

WIP: Quenched Bernoulli and interlacements

Recall Bernoulli percolation $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$.

Theorem (Ding, Xu, 2019)

Write τ for the hitting time of \mathcal{O}_p then there exist \mathbb{P} -measurable regions \mathcal{U}_n , distant from at least $n(\log n)^{-\gamma}$ and with poly-logarithmic volume, and a random time $T_n = o(n)$ such that $\mathbf{P}(S_{[T_n, n]} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n \mid \tau > n) \rightarrow 1$.

Recall Bernoulli percolation $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$.

Theorem (Ding, Xu, 2019)

Write τ for the hitting time of \mathcal{O}_p then there exist \mathbb{P} -measurable regions \mathcal{U}_n , distant from at least $n(\log n)^{-\gamma}$ and with poly-logarithmic volume, and a random time $T_n = o(n)$ such that $\mathbf{P}(S_{[T,n]} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n \mid \tau > n) \rightarrow 1$.

Goal: replace \mathcal{O}_p by the random interlacement $\mathcal{I}^u, u > 0$.

Recall Bernoulli percolation $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$.

Theorem (Ding, Xu, 2019)

Write τ for the hitting time of \mathcal{O}_p then there exist \mathbb{P} -measurable regions \mathcal{U}_n , distant from at least $n(\log n)^{-\gamma}$ and with poly-logarithmic volume, and a random time $T_n = o(n)$ such that $\mathbf{P}(S_{[T,n]} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n \mid \tau > n) \rightarrow 1$.

Goal: replace \mathcal{O}_p by the random interlacement \mathcal{I}^u , $u > 0$.
 \mathcal{I}^u is a random subset of \mathbb{Z}^d characterized by

$$\mathbb{P}(K \cap \mathcal{I}^u = \emptyset) = e^{-u \text{cap}[K]}$$

with $\text{cap}[K] = \sum_{x \in K} \mathbf{P}_x(S_{[1,+\infty]} \cap K = \emptyset)$ the capacity of $K \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Recall Bernoulli percolation $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$.

Theorem (Ding, Xu, 2019)

Write τ for the hitting time of \mathcal{O}_p then there exist \mathbb{P} -measurable regions \mathcal{U}_n , distant from at least $n(\log n)^{-\gamma}$ and with poly-logarithmic volume, and a random time $T_n = o(n)$ such that $\mathbf{P}(S_{[T_n, n]} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n \mid \tau > n) \rightarrow 1$.

Goal: replace \mathcal{O}_p by the random interlacement \mathcal{I}^u , $u > 0$.
 \mathcal{I}^u is a random subset of \mathbb{Z}^d characterized by

$$\mathbb{P}(K \cap \mathcal{I}^u = \emptyset) = e^{-u \text{cap}[K]}$$

with $\text{cap}[K] = \sum_{x \in K} \mathbf{P}_x(S_{[1, +\infty]} \cap K = \emptyset)$ the capacity of $K \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Conjecture: There exist almost-empty regions \mathcal{R} at distance $n(\log n)^{-\mu}$ with size $(\log n)^\lambda$ such that $S_{[o(n), n]} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

Recall Bernoulli percolation $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \eta_z = 1\}$ with $\eta_z \sim \text{Ber}(p)$.

Theorem (Ding, Xu, 2019)

Write τ for the hitting time of \mathcal{O}_p then there exist \mathbb{P} -measurable regions \mathcal{U}_n , distant from at least $n(\log n)^{-\gamma}$ and with poly-logarithmic volume, and a random time $T_n = o(n)$ such that $\mathbf{P}(S_{[T_n, n]} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n \mid \tau > n) \rightarrow 1$.

Goal: replace \mathcal{O}_p by the random interlacement \mathcal{I}^u , $u > 0$.
 \mathcal{I}^u is a random subset of \mathbb{Z}^d characterized by

$$\mathbb{P}(K \cap \mathcal{I}^u = \emptyset) = e^{-u \text{cap}[K]}$$

with $\text{cap}[K] = \sum_{x \in K} \mathbf{P}_x(S_{[1, +\infty]} \cap K = \emptyset)$ the capacity of $K \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Conjecture: There exist almost-empty regions \mathcal{R} at distance $n(\log n)^{-\mu}$ with size $(\log n)^\lambda$ such that $S_{[o(n), n]} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

Mostly done with the same ideas as Ding & Xu.

WIP: Annealed polymer in interlacement

WIP: Annealed polymer in interlacement

The annealed partition function of $H_n^u = \infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u\}}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{E} [\mathbb{P} (\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u = \emptyset)] = \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-u \text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]} \right]$$

WIP: Annealed polymer in interlacement

The annealed partition function of $H_n^u = \infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u\}}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{E} [\mathbb{P} (\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u = \emptyset)] = \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-u \text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]} \right]$$

Goal: Donsker-Varadhan type theorem for $\text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]$. We conjecture

$$n^{-\frac{d-2}{d}} \log \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-u \text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]} \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} k(u, d).$$

WIP: Annealed polymer in interlacement

The annealed partition function of $H_n^u = \infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u\}}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{E} [\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u = \emptyset)] = \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-u \text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]} \right]$$

Goal: Donsker-Varadhan type theorem for $\text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]$. We conjecture

$$n^{-\frac{d-2}{d}} \log \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-u \text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]} \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} k(u, d).$$

Optimal strategy: fold into a ball of radius $\asymp n^{1/d}$ without filling it.

WIP: Annealed polymer in interlacement

The annealed partition function of $H_n^u = \infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u\}}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{E} [\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u = \emptyset)] = \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-u \text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]} \right]$$

Goal: Donsker-Varadhan type theorem for $\text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]$. We conjecture

$$n^{-\frac{d-2}{d}} \log \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-u \text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]} \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} k(u, d).$$

Optimal strategy: fold into a ball of radius $\asymp n^{1/d}$ without filling it.

At distance r from the center, the walk locally resembles a random interlacement with density $\propto \cos^2(r)$.

WIP: Annealed polymer in interlacement

The annealed partition function of $H_n^u = \infty \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u\}}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{E} [\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R}_n \cap \mathcal{I}^u = \emptyset)] = \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-u \text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]} \right]$$

Goal: Donsker-Varadhan type theorem for $\text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]$. We conjecture

$$n^{-\frac{d-2}{d}} \log \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-u \text{cap}[\mathcal{R}_n]} \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} k(u, d).$$

Optimal strategy: fold into a ball of radius $\asymp n^{1/d}$ without filling it.

At distance r from the center, the walk locally resembles a random interlacement with density $\propto \cos^2(r)$.

We conjecture a phase diagram for $\text{cap}[R_n]$ when taking $u = u(n)$ depending on the asymptotics of $u(n)$.

Thank you for your
attention!